

## 0.1 Group schemes over a field

Let  $k$  be a field and  $S = \text{Spec } k$ .

**Lemma 0.1.** *Let  $G$  be a group scheme over  $k$ . Then  $G \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$  is separated.*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi: G \rightarrow S$  the structure morphism. Then  $\pi$  is separated if and only if  $e: S \rightarrow G$  is a closed immersion. For any  $x \in \text{im}(e) \in G$ , choose an affine open neighbourhood  $x \in U = \text{Spec } A \subseteq G$ . Then  $\pi|_U \circ e = \text{id}_S$ , hence the induced map  $A \xrightarrow{\Gamma(e)} k$  has a section  $\Gamma(\pi|_U)$  and is therefore surjective. Thus  $e$  is a closed immersion.  $\square$

**Proposition 0.2.** *Let  $G$  be a group scheme locally of finite type over  $k$ . Then  $G$  is smooth over  $k$  if and only if  $G$  is geometrically reduced.*

*Proof.* The first direction is immediate, since smoothness is invariant under base change and smooth over a field implies reduced. Conversely, for any field extension  $\ell/k$  by a prior result  $G$  is smooth over  $k$  if and only if  $G$  is smooth over  $\ell$ . Thus we may assume  $k = \bar{k}$ . By ?? and ??, we obtain  $G_{\text{sm}} \neq \emptyset$ . By the transitive action of  $G(k)$  on  $G$ , every closed point is smooth. Since

$$G_{(0)} = \{g \in G \mid \dim \overline{\{g\}} = 0\}$$

is very dense in  $G$  and  $G_{\text{sm}} \subseteq G$  is open, the result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 0.3.** *Let  $k$  be perfect and  $G$  a group scheme locally of finite type over  $k$ . Then the induced reduced subscheme  $G_{\text{red}}$  is a subgroup scheme of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(-)_{\text{red}}$  is a functor, we obtain  $i: G_{\text{red}} \rightarrow G_{\text{red}}$  and  $e: S \rightarrow G_{\text{red}}$ . By ??, reduced is equivalent to geometrically reduced since  $k$  is perfect. Thus  $G_{\text{red}} \times_k G_{\text{red}}$  is reduced and we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times_k G & \xrightarrow{m} & G \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ G_{\text{red}} \times_k G_{\text{red}} & \dashrightarrow & G_{\text{red}} \end{array} .$$

$\square$

**Corollary 0.4.** *If  $k$  is perfect and  $G$  a group scheme locally of finite type over  $k$ . Then  $G_{\text{red}}$  is smooth over  $k$ .*

**Lemma 0.5.** *Let  $G$  be locally of finite type over  $k$ . Then  $G$  is geometrically irreducible if (and only if)  $G$  is connected.*

*Proof.* Since  $G(k) \neq \emptyset$ , we have a morphism  $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow G$  and  $\text{Spec } k$  is geometrically connected. Thus  $G$  is geometrically connected. We may therefore assume  $k = \bar{k}$ . Since the statement is purely topological, we may further assume that  $G$  is reduced and thus smooth over  $k$ . Hence  $G$  is regular by ??, in particular for every  $g \in G$  the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{G,g}$  is regular and hence an integral domain. Since  $G$  is locally noetherian and connected, the claim follows.  $\square$

**Definition 0.6.** An *abelian variety* over  $k$  is a connected, geometrically reduced and proper  $k$ -group scheme.

**Remark 0.7.** Abelian varieties are smooth and geometrically integral.

**Example 0.8.** Elliptic curves are abelian varieties of dimension 1.

The goal is now to show that abelian varieties are commutative group schemes.

**Lemma 0.9.** *Let  $X$  be a proper, geometrically connected and geometrically reduced  $k$ -scheme and  $Y$  an affine  $k$ -scheme. Then every morphism  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  factors over a  $k$ -valued point of  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* By the Liouville theorem for schemes, the global sections of  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\bar{k}}}$  is  $\bar{k}$ . Since  $k \rightarrow \bar{k}$  is flat, we obtain

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_k \bar{k} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Gamma(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\bar{k}}}).$$

Since  $k \rightarrow \bar{k}$  is even faithfully flat, we obtain  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq k$ .

Choose an embedding  $Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^{(I)}$ . Then a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is equivalent to a morphism  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^{(I)}$ , which is equivalent to the datum of a family of  $e_i \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  which corresponds to a morphism  $\text{Spec } k \xrightarrow{e} \mathbb{A}_k^{(I)}$ . Thus by construction we obtain a factorisation

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^{(I)} \\ \downarrow & & & \nearrow & \\ \text{Spec } k & & & & \end{array}$$

where the dashed arrow is induced from the isomorphism  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq k$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 0.10** (Rigidity). *Let  $X$  be a geometrically reduced, geometrically connected and proper  $k$ -scheme with  $X(k) \neq \emptyset$ . Let further  $Y$  be an integral scheme over  $k$ ,  $Z$  be a separated  $k$ -scheme and  $f: X \times_k Y \rightarrow Z$  a morphism such that there exists  $y \in Y(k)$  such that  $f|_{X_y}$  factors via a  $k$ -point  $z \in Z(k)$ . Then  $f$  factors via  $\text{pr}_2$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the composition

$$g: X \times_k Y \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} Y \simeq \text{Spec } k \times_k Y \xrightarrow{(x_0, \text{id})} X \times_k Y \xrightarrow{f} Z$$

where  $x_0$  is an arbitrarily chosen  $k$ -rational point of  $X$ . It remains to show that  $f = g$ . Choose an open affine neighbourhood  $z \in U \subseteq Z$ . Then  $X_y = \text{pr}_2^{-1}(y) \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$ . Since  $X$  is proper,  $\text{pr}_2$  is a closed map. Thus there exists a  $V \subseteq Y$  open with  $\text{pr}_2^{-1}(V) \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$ . For any  $y' \in V$ , we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_k Y & \xrightarrow{f} & Z \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ X_{y'} & \dashrightarrow & U \\ \downarrow \alpha(y') & \nearrow & \\ U \times_k \kappa(y') & & \end{array}$$

By ??, the morphism  $\alpha(y')$  factors over a  $\kappa(y')$ -valued point. Thus  $f$  and  $g$  agree on the dense open subset  $X \times_k V$ . By reduced-to-separated, the result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 0.11.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be abelian varieties over  $k$  and  $f$  a morphism of  $k$ -schemes  $A \rightarrow B$ . If under the induced map  $f(k): A(k) \rightarrow B(k)$  the identity  $e_A$  is mapped to  $e_B$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the composition

$$g: A \times_k A \xrightarrow{(f \circ m_A) \times (i_B \circ m_A \circ (f \times f))} B \times_k B \xrightarrow{m_B} B.$$

It remains to show that the image of  $g$  is precisely  $\{e_B\}$ . By assumption  $f(e_A) = e_B$  and thus

$$g(\{e_A\} \times_k A) = \{e_B\} = g(A \times_k \{e_A\}).$$

By repeated application of ??,  $g$  factors via  $\text{pr}_1$  and  $\text{pr}_2$ . Thus  $g$  is constant and  $e_B$  is in the image.  $\square$

**Corollary 0.12.** *Every abelian variety is commutative.*

*Proof.* Apply ?? on  $i: A \rightarrow A$ .  $\square$