

## 0.1 Extensions of ordered fields

If a field  $k$  admits a structure of ordered field, we will say that  $k$  is *orderable*. For an *ordered* field  $k$ , an extension  $L/k$  is called *orderable* if the field  $L$  is orderable such that the induced order on  $k$  coincides with the fixed order on  $k$ .

**Definition 0.1.** Let  $k$  be a field. A quadratic form  $q: k^n \rightarrow k$  is called *isotropic* if there exists  $x \in k \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $q(x) = 0$ . Otherwise, the quadratic form is called *anisotropic*.

**Remark 0.2.** Recall that, given a quadratic form  $q$  on a finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector space  $E$ , there always exists a basis of  $E$  in which  $q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = a_1x_1^2 + \dots + a_rx_r^2$ , where  $r = \text{rg}(q) \leq n = \dim E$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in k$ . The form  $q$  is non-degenerate on  $E$  if and only if  $r = \dim E$ .

**Example 0.3.** • A field  $k$  is real if and only if for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the form  $x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$  is anisotropic.

- A degenerate quadratic form is isotropic.
- If  $k$  is algebraically closed and  $n \geq 2$ , all quadratic forms on  $k^n$  are isotropic.
- If  $(k, \leq)$  is an ordered field and  $q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = a_1x_1^2 + \dots + a_nx_n^2$  with  $a_i > 0$  for all  $i$ , then  $q$  and  $-q$  are anisotropic on  $k^n$ .

**Definition 0.4.** Let  $k$  be a field and  $L$  an extension of  $k$ . A quadratic form  $q: k^n \rightarrow k$  induces a quadratic form  $q_L: L^n \rightarrow L$ . The form  $q$  is called *anisotropic over  $L$*  if  $q_L$  is anisotropic.

It can be checked that, on an ordered field  $(k, \leq)$ , a quadratic form  $q$  is anisotropic if and only if it is non-degenerate and of constant sign. The interest of this notion for us is given by the following result.

**Theorem 0.5.** Let  $(k, \leq)$  be an ordered field and  $L$  be an extension of  $k$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) The extension  $L/k$  is orderable.
- (ii) For all  $n \geq 1$  and all  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n$  such that  $a_i > 0$  for all  $i$ , the quadratic form  $q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = a_1x_1^2 + \dots + a_nx_n^2$  is anisotropic over  $L$  (i.e. all positive definite quadratic forms on  $k$  are anisotropic over  $L$ ).

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Assume that there is an ordering of  $L$  that extends the ordering of  $k$  and let  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n$  with  $a_i > 0$  for all  $i$ . Then  $a_i > 0$  still holds in  $L$ . Since squares are non-negative for all orderings, the sum  $a_1x_1^2 + \dots + a_nx_n^2$  is a sum of positive terms in  $L$ . Therefore it can only be 0, if all of its terms are 0. Since  $a_i \neq 0$ , it follows  $x_i = 0$  for all  $i$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Define

$$P = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i^2 : a_i \in k, a_i > 0, x_i \in L \right\}.$$

The set  $P$  is stable by sum and product and contains all squares of  $L$ , so it is a cone in  $L$ . Suppose  $-1 \in P$ . Then there exists  $n \geq 1$  and  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n$  with  $a_i > 0$  and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in L^n$  such that  $-1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i^2$ . So

$$a_1x_1^2 + \dots + a_nx_n^2 + 1 = 0,$$

meaning that the quadratic form  $a_1x_1^2 + \dots + a_nx_n^2 + x_{n+1}^2$  is isotropic on  $L^{n+1}$ , contradicting (ii). Thus  $P$  is a positive cone containing all positive elements of  $k$ . By embedding  $P$  in a maximal positive cone, the claim follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 0.6.** Let  $(k, \leq)$  be an ordered field and let  $c > 0$  be a positive element in  $k$ . Then  $k[\sqrt{c}]$  is an orderable extension of  $k$ .

*Proof.* If  $c$  is a square in  $k$ , there is nothing to prove. Otherwise,  $k[\sqrt{c}]$  is indeed a field. Let  $n \geq 1$  and let  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n$  with  $a_i > 0$  for all  $i$ . Assume that  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in k[\sqrt{c}]^n$  satisfies

$$a_1x_1^2 + \dots + a_nx_n^2 = 0.$$

Since  $x_i = u_i + v_i\sqrt{c}$  for some  $u_i, v_i \in k$ , we can rewrite this equation as

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(u_i^2 + cv_i^2) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n u_iv_i\sqrt{c} = 0.$$

Since 1 and  $\sqrt{c}$  are linearly independent over  $k$ , we get  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(u_i^2 + cv_i^2) = 0$ , hence  $u_i = v_i = 0$  for all  $i$ , since all terms in the previous sum are non-negative. So  $x_i = 0$  for all  $i$  and (ii) of 0.5 is satisfied.  $\square$

**Proposition 0.7.** *Let  $(k, \leq)$  be an ordered field and let  $P \in k[t]$  be an irreducible polynomial of odd degree. Then the field  $L := k[t]/(P)$  is an orderable extension of  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Denote by  $d$  the degree of  $P$  and proceed by induction on  $d \geq 1$ . If  $d = 1$ , then  $L = k$ . Now assume  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $n \geq 1$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in k$  with  $a_i > 0$ . Denote by  $q_L$  the quadratic form

$$q_L(x_1, \dots, x_n) = a_1x_1^2 + \dots + a_nx_n^2$$

on  $L^n$ . If  $q_L$  is isotropic over  $L$ , then there exist polynomials  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in k[t]$  with  $\deg(g_i) < d$  and  $h \in k[t]$  such that

$$q_L(g_1, \dots, g_n) = hP \tag{1}$$

Let  $g$  be the greatest common divisor of  $g_1, \dots, g_n$ . Since  $q_L$  is homogeneous of degree 2,  $g^2$  divides  $q_L(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ . Since  $P$  is irreducible,  $g$  divides  $h$ . We may thus assume that  $g = 1$ . The leading coefficients of the terms on the left hand side of (1) are non-negative, thus the sum has even degree  $< 2d$ . Since the degree of  $P$  is odd,  $h$  must be of odd degree  $< d$ . Therefore,  $h$  has an irreducible factor  $h_1 \in k[t]$  of odd degree. Let  $\alpha$  be a root of  $h_1$ . By evaluating (1) at  $\alpha$ , we get

$$q_{k[\alpha]}(g_1(\alpha), \dots, g_n(\alpha)) = 0$$

in  $k[\alpha]$ . Since the  $\gcd(g_1, \dots, g_n) = 1$  and  $k[t]$  is a principal ideal domain, there exist  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in k[t]$  such that

$$h_1g_1 + \dots + h_n g_n = 1.$$

In particular

$$h_1(\alpha)g_1(\alpha) + \dots + h_n(\alpha)g_n(\alpha) = 1,$$

so not all  $g_i(\alpha)$  are 0 in  $k[\alpha]$ . Thus  $q_{k[\alpha]}$  is isotropic over  $k[\alpha] = k[t]/(h_1)$  contradicting the induction hypothesis.  $\square$