

0.1 Prime ideals in $k[x, y]$

Proposition 0.1. *Let A be a principal ideal domain. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A[X]$ be a prime ideal. Then \mathfrak{p} satisfies exactly one of the following three mutually exclusive possibilities:*

- (i) $\mathfrak{p} = (0)$
- (ii) $\mathfrak{p} = (f)$, where $f \in A[X]$ is irreducible
- (iii) $\mathfrak{p} = (a, q)$, where $a \in A$ is irreducible and $q \in A[X]$ such that its reduction modulo aA is an irreducible element in $A/aA[X]$. In this case, \mathfrak{p} is a maximal ideal.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A[X]$ be a prime ideal. If \mathfrak{p} is principal, then $\mathfrak{p} = (f)$ for some $f \in A[X]$. If $f = 0$, we are done. Otherwise, since $A[X]$ is factorial by Gauß and \mathfrak{p} is prime, f is irreducible.

Let now \mathfrak{p} not be principal. Then there exist $f, g \in \mathfrak{p}$ without common factors in $A[X]$. By ??, they also have no common factors in the principal ideal domain $Q(A)[X]$, so $Mf + Ng = 1$ for some $M, N \in Q(A)[X]$. By multiplying with the denominators, we obtain $Pf + Qg = b$ for some $b \in A$ and $P, Q \in A[X]$. So $b \in (f, g) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, thus there is an irreducible factor a of b in A such that $a \in \mathfrak{p}$. Moreover, $aA[X] \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$ since \mathfrak{p} is not principal. Now consider the prime ideal

$$\mathfrak{p}/aA[X] \subset A[X]/aA[X] \simeq (A/aA)[X].$$

Since A is a PID and a is irreducible, A/aA is a field and $(A/aA)[X]$ a PID. So $\mathfrak{p}/aA[X]$ is generated by an irreducible element $\bar{q} \in (A/aA)[X]$ for some $q \in A[X]$. Thus $\mathfrak{p} = (a, q)$. Moreover

$$A[X]/\mathfrak{p} \simeq \left(\frac{A}{aA} \right)[X] / \left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{aA} \right)[X] = \left(\frac{A}{aA} \right)[X] / \bar{q} \left(\frac{A}{aA} \right)[X]$$

which is a field since $\left(\frac{A}{aA} \right)[X]$ is a PID. So \mathfrak{p} is maximal in $A[X]$. \square

Using 0.1 we can give a simple proof for the classification of maximal ideals of $k[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ when k is algebraically closed and $n = 2$.

Corollary 0.2. *If k is algebraically closed, a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of $k[x, y]$ is of the form $\mathfrak{m} = (x - a, y - b)$ with $(a, b) \in k^2$. In particular, principal ideals are never maximal.*

Proof. Since \mathfrak{m} is maximal, it is prime and $\mathfrak{m} \neq (0)$. By 0.1, $\mathfrak{m} = (P, f)$ with $P \in k[x]$ irreducible and $f \in k[x, y]$ such that its image \bar{f} in $(k[x]/(P))[y]$ is irreducible or $\mathfrak{m} = (f)$ for $f \in k[x, y]$ irreducible.

- (1) $\mathfrak{m} = (P, f)$. Since k is algebraically closed and $P \in k[x]$ is irreducible, $P = x - a$ for some $a \in k$.

$$k[x]/(P) = k[x]/(x - a) \simeq k.$$

Since $\bar{f} \in k[y]$ is also irreducible, $\bar{f} = y - b$ for some $b \in k$.

- (2) $\mathfrak{m} = (f)$. Since $k = \bar{k}$, $\mathcal{V}(f)$ is infinite, in particular $\mathcal{V}(f) \neq \emptyset$. Then if $(a, b) \in \mathcal{V}(f)$,

$$(x - a, y - b) = \mathcal{I}(\{(a, b)\}) \supset \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{V}(f)) \supset (f).$$

Since (f) is maximal, it follows that $(f) = (x - a, y - b)$, which is impossible since $x - a$ and $y - b$ have no common factors in $k[x, y]$. \square

Remark 0.3. The ideal $(x^2 + 1, y)$ is maximal in $\mathbb{R}[x, y]$ and is not of the form $(x - a, y - b)$ for $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Indeed,

$$\mathbb{R}[x, y]/(x^2 + 1, y) \simeq (\mathbb{R}[y]/y\mathbb{R}[y])[x]/(x^2 + 1) \simeq \mathbb{R}[x]/(x^2 + 1) \simeq \mathbb{C}.$$

Proposition 0.4. *Let k be an algebraically closed field. Then the maps $V \mapsto \mathcal{I}(V)$ and $I \mapsto \mathcal{V}(I)$ induce a bijection*

$$\{\text{irreducible algebraic subsets of } k^2\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{prime ideals in } k[x, y]\}$$

through which we have correspondences

$$\begin{aligned} \text{points } (a, b) \in k^2 &\longleftrightarrow \text{maximal ideals } (x - a, y - b) \text{ in } k[x, y] \\ \text{proper, infinite, irreducible algebraic sets} &\longleftrightarrow \text{prime ideals } (f) \subseteq k[x, y] \text{ with } f \text{ irreducible} \\ k^2 &\longleftrightarrow (0). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $V \subseteq k^2$ be an irreducible algebraic set. By ?? we can distinguish the following cases:

- (i) If $V = k^2$, then $\mathcal{I}(V) = (0)$ since k is infinite and $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{V}(0)) = (0)$.
- (ii) If $V = \{(a, b)\}$, then $\mathcal{I}(V) \supset (x - a, y - b) =: \mathfrak{m}$. Since \mathfrak{m} is maximal, $\mathcal{I}(V) = \mathfrak{m}$. Since $V = \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{m})$, this also shows $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{m})) = \mathfrak{m}$.
- (iii) If $V = \mathcal{V}(f)$ where $f \in k[x, y]$ is irreducible, then by ?? $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{V}(f)) = (f)$.

So, every irreducible algebraic set $V \subseteq k^2$ is of the form $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})$ for some prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq k[x, y]$. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})) = \mathfrak{p}.$$

Let now \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal in $k[x, y]$. By 0.1 we can distinguish the following cases:

- (i) $\mathfrak{p} = (0)$: Then $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p}) = k^2$ and since k is infinite, k^2 is irreducible.
- (ii) \mathfrak{p} maximal: Then by 0.2, $\mathfrak{p} = (x - a, y - b)$ for some $(a, b) \in k^2$. So $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p}) = \{(a, b)\}$ is irreducible.
- (iii) $\mathfrak{p} = (f)$ with $f \in k[x, y]$ irreducible. Since $k = \bar{k}$, $\mathcal{V}(f)$ is infinite and hence by ?? irreducible.

Thus the maps in the proposition are well-defined, mutually inverse and induce the stated correspondences. \square

Corollary 0.5. *Assume that k is algebraically closed and let $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq k[x, y]$ be a prime ideal. Then*

$$\mathfrak{p} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \text{ maximal}, \mathfrak{m} \supset \mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{m}.$$

Proof. If \mathfrak{p} is maximal, there is nothing to prove. If $\mathfrak{p} = (0)$, \mathfrak{p} is contained in $(x - a, y - b)$ for $(a, b) \in k^2$. Since k is infinite, the intersection of these ideals is (0) . Otherwise, by 0.4, $\mathfrak{p} = (f)$ for some $f \in k[x, y]$ irreducible. Then, since $k = \bar{k}$, $\mathcal{V}(f)$ is infinite and with ??:

$$\mathfrak{p} = (f) = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{V}(f)) = \mathcal{I}\left(\bigcup_{(a,b) \in \mathcal{V}(f)} \{(a, b)\}\right) \supset \bigcap_{(a,b) \in \mathcal{V}(f)} \mathcal{I}(\{(a, b)\}) \supset (f) = \mathfrak{p}.$$

By 0.4, the ideals $\mathcal{I}(\{(a, b)\})$ for $(a, b) \in \mathcal{V}(f)$ are exactly the maximal ideals containing $(f) = \mathfrak{p}$. \square

Corollary 0.6. *Let $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq k[x, y]$ be a non-principal prime ideal. Then $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq k^2$ is finite.*

Proof. Since \mathfrak{p} is not principal, there exist $f, g \in \mathfrak{p}$ without common factors. Since $(f, g) \subset \mathfrak{p}$, we have

$$\mathcal{V}(f) \cap \mathcal{V}(g) = \mathcal{V}(f, g) \supset \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{p})$$

and the left hand side is finite by ??.

\square